	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/509,952	PARTHASARADHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Celia Chang	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Celia Chang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph Murphy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 102(e0, 103(a)		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: see PTO-892		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Celia Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/509,952

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed the newly acquired references with the applicants and indicated the allowable scope. Applicants authorized an examiner's amendment deletinge-butyl, n-butyl alcohols and carbontetrachloride/ethylene dichloride. The correction of inventorship will be to the extend that the inventors are idnetical to the oath...